



Eastbourne Strategic Partnership  
working together

## **EASTBOURNE REVISED COMMUNITY STRATEGY**

### **CONSULTATION RESPONSES**

**DECEMBER 2004**

[WWW.EASTBOURNE.GOV.UK/ESP](http://WWW.EASTBOURNE.GOV.UK/ESP)

[esp@eastbourne.gov.uk](mailto:esp@eastbourne.gov.uk)

Part One of the Local Government Act 2000 places on local authorities a duty to prepare community strategies for promoting or improving the economic, social and environmental well-being of their areas, contributing to the achievement of sustainable development in the UK. The Eastbourne Strategic Partnership (ESP) was formed in response to the Local Government Act 2000 and as part of the Council's earlier commitment to developing a shared Community Strategy. Whilst not a statutory requirement for a non-Neighbourhood Renewal Area, the establishment of a Local Strategic Partnership is a key recommendation of the guidance and seen as vital to an inclusive process to develop the Community Strategy. The Council in partnership with the ESP published the Eastbourne Community Strategy Foundation document in June 2003.

The Eastbourne Community Strategy Foundation Document was 90 pages long and contained 172 targets. Findings from an Elected Member Validation Exercise in 2003 were quite clear in that the public found the Strategy very interesting, but there was too much detail. The key items that were of interest were: where are you going, how are you going to get there and how will you know when you have arrived?

The Revised Eastbourne Community Strategy was mailed or emailed to over 600 individuals and organisations, including all those who had taken part in the original focus groups in 2002, and those who took part in the Elected member Validation Exercise in 2003. The document was available on line through the web site, together with an electronic response form, and the consultation was publicised in the local newspaper. The document was accompanied by a questionnaire which individuals could complete anonymously. Those replying on behalf of organisations were invited to name themselves. A presentation was given to an Eastbourne Black and Minority Ethnic Forum.

Specific work was carried out with young people through a Comm Strat 'comic' that was administered by the East Sussex Youth Development Service. The results of this consultation are available separately.

Several detailed responses were also received from key partners and organisations. These responses are not included here. This report covers responses received by questionnaire only.

The questionnaire for the Revised Eastbourne Community Strategy is available at the end of this document as Appendix 1.

The results from this consultation will be used to validate the priorities in the revised Eastbourne Community Strategy, identify any gaps and shared across the ESP to inform partner organisations.

With thanks to Will Tompsett and all the organisations and individuals who took time to respond.

**Barbara Pratt**  
**Eastbourne Strategic Partnership Co-ordinator**  
**January 2005**

**Sixty-three questionnaires were returned.**

**Thirty one organisations:**

- Eastbourne Access Group
- Eastbourne Ratepayers Association
- Refuge
- Charles Jewell Centre
- Willingdon Residents Association
- New Derby House Tenants Association
- Hazel Court School
- Habitat for Humanity
- Willingdon Trees Tenants & Residents Association
- Raglan Housing Association
- Memorial Square Residents Action Group
- The Chaseley Trust
- Eastbourne in Bloom steering committee
- The Trees Community Association
- Eastbourne Business Crime Group
- Furniture Now!
- Eastbourne & District Mencap
- Seaside Centre (YMCA)
- Catholic Women's League
- Friends of Devonshire Park Theatre
- Relate S E Sussex
- Friends of the Towner Art Gallery
- Parkinson's Disease Society
- Eastbourne Buses
- Eastbourne Education Business Partnership
- Highway Authority
- Rodmill Residents Association
- St Thomas a Beckett Infants School
- East Sussex Disability Association
- Sunbury Farm Residents Association
- North Langney Community Forum

**Thirty-two individuals responded:**

<b>Length of time resident in Eastbourne:</b>		<b>Sex:</b>	
Less than 2 years	1	Male	18
2-5 years	5	Female	13
6-20 years	9	No response	1
More than 20 years	17		

<b>Age:</b>	
15-17	0
18-24	0
25-44	6
45-64	12
65-80	10
80+	2
No Response	2

<b>Employment situation:</b>	
In full time paid work (more than 30 hours – employed or self employed)	11
In part time work (less than 30 hours – employed or self employed)	7
In full time education or training	0
Not in paid work and looking for employment	0
Not in paid work and not looking for employment	0
Retired	13
No response	1

Long standing illness, disability or infirmity?		Does this illness or disability limit your activities in any way?	
Yes	9	Yes	4
No	22	No	5
No response	1		
<b>Does anyone in your household use a wheelchair?</b>			
Yes	1		
No	32		

**Ethnicity:**

<b>WHITE</b>	
British	27
Irish	2
Any other white background	
<b>MIXED</b>	
White & black Caribbean	
White & black African	
White & Asian	
Any other mixed background	
<b>ASIAN OR ASIAN BRITISH</b>	
Indian	1
Pakistani	
Bangladeshi	
Any other Asian background	
<b>BLACK OR BLACK BRITISH</b>	
Caribbean	
African	
Any other black background	
<b>CHINESE OR OTHER ETHNIC GROUP</b>	
Chinese	
Any other	
No response	1

## DID YOU FIND THE EASTBOURNE COMMUNITY STRATEGY EASY TO READ?

Very Easy	Fairly Easy	Difficult	No Opinion	No Response
32	28	2	0	1

### IF VERY EASY OR FAIRLY EASY, WHAT DID YOU LIKE ABOUT IT?

Clear ideas, short sentences, in basic English. Especially the key indicators, to tell you whether it is working	Clear layout. One critique less lists & more contact info. No tel. Numbers for agencies who are going to deal with specific issues. Also subject possibly compiled in a different order as Housing and Employment is most peoples top priority before Environment.
It was OK	
No jargon. Pointers on how to find out more are a good idea but doesn't say where strategies etc can be accessed.	It seemed succinct but I feared it may be a "paper exercise" as there were too few examples of "what we have achieved so far"
Elimination of jargon - almost. Still some evidence such as p8 "retain high-growth industries" and p9 "conservation of resources initiatives"	Clear text & font style. Brief and to the point
Clear, well laid out and easy to read. Good amount of information but not "information overload"	Much easier
Clear and concise without using technical terms that you may not understand	Easy to read doesn't mean I like it! I found it painfully "politically correct"!
Well presented & laid out. Good structure	Short clear paragraphs. Bullet points - snappy and to the point
Clear indications in all sections that something is being done to improve life in Eastbourne	The way it is set out under separate headings
Not too bulky. Informative	Fairly comprehensive survey of plans in hand and work already done
Clearly itemised	I don't think that any resident of the Borough could argue against any of the somewhat unrealistic/idealistic aims of the strategies behind what this partnership sets out to achieve
Clear descriptions and aims with target times. Action points.	It is easy because it is simplistic so probably effective for a large target.
The layout was very good & I felt you answered some of the questions before I asked them. Obviously a lot of careful thought has been put into this - well done.	Good fonts used - plenty of spacing - easy to read. I liked to see photos especially of organisations e.g. Street Warden Rangers. Very informative but you don't explain what will happen when you measure Eastbourne's success... What happens if you don't reach your targets
Well set out. Clear text	
Friendly font. Conversational style	The particular font used. Spaced out well on each page, not cramped
The layout. 2 page format	It all sounded very fine - but is it just fine words? Like Blair's fine words. All these "core partners". Jobs at the council - tax payers expense?? We get fed up with the tax rise (& also our beach house goes up and up to give the council more money. I suppose they think - "if they are well off enough to have a beach house, then squeeze them")
Clear headings	
Well set out, clear print, concise	

Clear & concise	Clear & concise presentation
Generally easy to read and thoughtfully laid out. Appreciate the lack of jargon	The layout is very clear and the use of text on the bullet points broken up with photos or new headings makes for an accessible document
The easy to read set up on each page. Headings in bold type and the vision for Eastbourne clearly defined.	It seems to reflect local opinion. It must not result in high rises in council tax payments.
Clear, concise	Written in language that is understandable
Relaxed atmosphere and able to talk to those most involved in the community	It is in my opinion very clear, although it does not specifically mention theatres which my organisation is particularly interested in
Simple use of English, no jargon. Short, straightforward statements. Not too long. Printing style & font - easy to scan	Nicely spread out layout
Clearly states the goals that you are trying to achieve and the structure you envisage this goal to be achieved in	Use of different fonts made it interesting to look at
Not too difficult to see where it is coming from	Clear text. Use of bullets. Well spaced
It used plain English with little jargon	Clear, concise priorities. Planned approach with identifiable measurements of success of targets achieved
The ways and means set out clearly and would seem to be a good way to achieve the long term objectives	Good to separate issues for ease of use - but would like to see how actions fit together eg transport, housing & environment
Set out well	Your serious intent
A clear structure which focuses on areas which are easy to comprehend and measured by key indicators. Also, not "technical" language. Challenging but mainly achievable. Look forward to seeing final version.	Clear layout

### IF DIFFICULT, WHAT DIDN'T YOU LIKE ABOUT IT?

Too bland and woolly - a typical politician's document, lacking in positive statements e.g. "we are taking the following steps to ensure recycling" or "we are doing this to ensure safer streets" rather than the obscure "encouraging" which lacks force. Poor typeface - not sufficiently bold.	Well produced & most interesting to read. A few grammatical errors. Would like more space to comment as there is so much information of great importance to our well-being.
From p7 thereafter, the use of "key indicators" - what are they? Where are they explained? What do they mean to the wider picture? (Key indicator 13) <u>risky declaration</u> these wards make up part of 10% areas of deprivation in the town (whole raft of issues not just employment).	Too involved - needs to be simplified
Are the economic forces that control the development of <u>any</u> residential or industrial community from the outside going to allow the full implementation of what the strategy sets out to achieve? I have severe misgivings that it will prove impossible. There are too many, at present, unforeseen political and economic forces that may well come between fulfilment	Using Arial font would be more user friendly for people with sight problems. (see RNIB guidelines & similar)
	What happens if you don't reach your targets. I would suggest colours for the full format. When are you going to tackle affordable homes for the young/next generation

p5 What is "seventh theme". P9 What about monitoring the cleanliness etc. p13 What about accessibility for all students to music, drama, sports, personal development activities after school	One doesn't mind paying for those who are genuine in their need & belong to Eastbourne but how many come here from outside to be looked after? You don't tell us that. Not just asylum seekers but people from London & other cities. Why come here where jobs are few and the SE is crowded out? You bring in the people and then say we must create jobs - why bring in the people in the first place? You will just be running hard to keep in the same place. That's what exhausts us. We'll never catch up. And why do we have to give so much to East Sussex? Do we get our share of the police?
Rather too long and complicated	
Font size could be bigger. Some of the English doesn't make immediate sense. Health & Social care section - the maths in the second paragraph doesn't make sense - "1 in 4 people, including 33% of our local population..."	

**THE PARTNERSHIP VISION OF EASTBOURNE IN 2020 IS:** We want Eastbourne to be a thriving town. A place where people want to live, work and visit, with every resident enjoying a high quality of life and a healthy, clean environment that is valued, preserved and improved for future generations.

**IS THIS WHAT YOU WANT EASTBOURNE TO BE LIKE IN THE FUTURE?**

Yes	To Some Extent	No	Don't Know
47	16	0	0

**WHAT ARE YOUR MAIN CONCERNS ABOUT THE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT OF EASTBOURNE?**

**INCLUSIVE COMMUNITY**

Over expansion would be detrimental in my opinion as it would put undue pressure on limited resources leading to frustration and possible inequality	That Eastbourne will only be taken forward in the image set up by a select group. Consensus opinions from all sectors should be considered. Slightly concerned that things will be decided by the older generation for the older generation.
The need to ensure that disabled peoples needs are being met in all areas of development - not just health & social care	Marina development far too intensive - developers should be urged to provide green spaces and a community hall. All books written about estates will tell you such facilities should develop alongside the housing.
I am concerned that there is not enough commitment to racial integration amongst Eastbourne residents.	Future developments should reflect the whole of age requirements
Social exclusion	

## ENVIRONMENT

Concern about possible flooding at Sovereign Harbour.	Recycling needs to be expanded with separate green waste - Wealden DC are an extremely good example of recycling well.
The environment & the need for more recycling & reuse.	Making sure the town remains clean
More congested roads and fewer beautiful green parks.	Getting to large for the infra structure to cope. Any loss of countryside/areas of beauty.
Loss of greenspace and natural environment. Too much traffic	That it is not spoilt and becomes like so many other seaside towns - but then I suppose that is rather selfish!
Page 8. Environment. What control will Eastbourne residents have when faced with Mr Prescotts burning desire to cover the countryside in concrete?	

## HOUSING

Housing	Insufficient attention is paid to the infrastructure. If we are to build say 5000 new dwellings with say 10/15000 new residents there must be adequate provision for doctors surgeries, dentists, schools, shops, transport etc in place BEFORE development takes place.
Lack of opportunity for young people to be able to afford to buy or rent property.	
That housing developments are created on brownfield sites and does not ruin the countryside	Lack of housing for homeless.
Not enough thought given to "infill". Not enough affordable houses being built.	You talk at length: diversity - well what of those who are on fixed incomes in paper rich homes which incur over £2,000 council tax each year? We can't go on like this, what about us?
That affordable housing supply will continue to fall short of demand	Too many slum landlords and badly maintained buildings
And more houses are planned! And all these new people will want to use the Eastbourne General Hospital. I want GPs and schools. I agree whole-heartedly that social housing must reach a decent standard (p11) but what about making the people living in it keep up decent standards? They should maintain their homes and learn to be thoughtful neighbours.	Even more housing adding to traffic problems and pollution and straining resources.
The creation of affordable housing for young people on low incomes. Lack of move-on accommodation	The steep rise in house prices is outstripping earning and a larger number of people are becoming vulnerable each year
Planning of housing estates in the countryside	Homelessness
Lack of housing for the growing numbers of people who are unable to afford homes of their own by any other means	Affordable housing - lack of. Sufficient services for increased housing development

## REGENERATION AND ECONOMY

<b>TRANSPORT</b>	
Road & rail transport. Rail to London too slow & crowded with no areas for luggage. Road - the A27 must be widened, many visitors comment how slow and dangerous it is.	The town will still have poor transport infrastructure. Poor road links and weak public transport that's expensive. . Young people - will have few employment opportunities.
Poor road infrastructure. Reduction in attractions for visitors. Why not have parking meters on seafront? Becoming a haven for illegal immigrants.	Lack of major roads to access Eastbourne ie A22/A259. .
Public transport at a reasonable price will cut down the need to drive in town	Local transport links
Dual carriageway for Lewes to Eastbourne access.	The lack of good road and rail links to the rest of the country. The A27 must be improved and we must aspire to a 60 minute train journey time to London.
Too much development all around it so that the roads are choked. The A27 from Polegate to Lewes is far too busy for its size.	Road system - A27 is inadequate & the roads to the Channel Tunnel & ports are not satisfactory. Businesses have left the town
Public transport, rail and bus could be improved and fares kept at a reasonable price	Transport infrastructure. Quality, effective and efficient road network required to attract businesses and to implement public transport strategies
<b>TOWN CENTRE</b>	
The centre of the town appears to be a ghost town with shops closing everywhere.	Town centre is looking 'tired'. The sooner buses are removed from Terminus Road to an integrated system with trains & taxis, the better.
Do not want to see our seafront turned into a shopping centre, with lots of stalls & cafes. Pavements need improving to prevent falls. Need to have empty shops re-open.	
<b>REGENERATION</b>	
Getting too large, industrialised and impersonal. The future of tourism - we don't think casinos and funfairs is the right way forward for Eastbourne. Employment - we are really too far from London for commuting to be sustainable on a larger scale.	Employment
Not sure where room for extra businesses to be.	Decline of tourism and light industrial base. The possible failure of major national concerns to become associated with the Borough's plans
Regeneration of deprived areas and resources available to needy communities.	That it falls below standards in respect of it being a tourist attraction, e.g. parks, flower beds, attractions not being maintained. That road networks are not improved
Lack of finances	More large developments such as the Sovereign Harbour far bigger than original plans and what the Act of Parliament set out. Even more "chain stores" presenting a bland image as many other towns.
2 centre town - the harbour and the rest	

An over reliance on established visitor/tourist market i.e. for over 60s in the town at the expense of potential to diversify the appeal of the town & surrounding area to other age groups/lifestyles. Expense of public transport/lack of cycle paths. Increasing traffic growth & building of new homes with minimal sustainable (architectural & planning) features. Increasing polarisation of residents in high cost neighbourhoods & those on estates etc - related to this, a lack of employment opportunities for young people locally that can offer a living wage. Lack of vision on development of potential sustainable local industries such as renewable energy sector, reuse/recycling sector & eco-tourism	Over-population
	Lack of employment - continuing perception of the "wealthy" south east
	Graduates will not stay unless rates of pay are improved - there is better pay as close as Brighton
Concerned with lack of good architecture in new developments. Would like to see a much higher standard of design & use of compatible materials. Improve access to the town for visitors & businesses.	To preserve the character of the town & residential areas. To protect small shops & businesses e.g. Meads & Old Town. Maintain adequate parking. Not to over-develop!
Transport links, housing stock and skills base to support regeneration	House building outstripping road network & original town centre network/nucleus. Low standard of town planning e.g. west side of Shinewater Park

## EDUCATION AND SKILLS

That public services don't have capacity to provide adequate service to residents. Continued overdevelopment of East side of the town by private property developers. Schools especially need more resources, in particular in Old Town (Cavendish) only 1 secondary school.	Education - too much emphasis on GCSE results over grade C - more need for practical & all round education.
The Learning & Skills seems to concentrate on preparing for work (don't employers provide training?) but quality of life and education for life is also important. Businesses that provide well paid, high skills jobs and training have no encouragement to come or stay in the town.	

## CRIME AND DISORDER

Control of substance abuse.	Crime & Disorder
Difficulty eliminating drug abuse and crime. Persistence of poverty housing and antisocial behaviour on some estates.	Seeing Eastbourne develop into a crime free (well relatively) town that we can be more proud of, with a sensible rate of council tax.
Ensure the town remains safe during the evening and night so people can enjoy the facilities	That we have more crimes.

## HEALTH AND SOCIAL CARE

Inadequate suitable facilities for care of aged	Health & Care for older residents.
Inadequate medical services	Inadequate medical services to serve increasing population

## GENERAL COMMENTS

Airbourne: there are so many complaints	This is a utopian dream that can never be realised
Lack of finances	Quality of services
My personal worry is what effect would it have on our rates	Infrastructure for health care (hospital)/education/water/fire will not cope with the increase in population of the town.

## DOES THE EASTBOURNE COMMUNITY STRATEGY ADDRESS THESE CONCERNS?

### INCLUSIVE COMMUNITY

Not really, as there does not appear to be plans to prevent population and housing expansion	To some extent having a section for Inclusive Community does address this, but we need to keep an eye on this
--	---

### ENVIRONMENT

Not really. SSSI is no safeguard, look at the Crumbles blatant and illegal filling in of sites that were supposed to be protected?	Yes, by better cycling routes
Partially, though part of the problem is that on issues such as waste & transport, it is clear that there are limits to what we can achieve locally. For example, without Govt commitment to legislating on recycling/reuse, local efforts will be limited in what can be achieved. I'm not sure how we can join up local action with national policy/guidance in a way that will maximise what can be done locally; but it doesn't feel like it is being done at the moment	To some extent
	Yes - if implemented

### HOUSING

Mostly	Unsure
Yes	Not really:- the word "all" should mean there needs to be a plan for moving young people from supported accommodation to long term housing
I don't think so	Yes - hopefully
Yes, to some degree. But trying to build in this area for the future is difficult and with this new larger Arndale Centre proposal more families will be needing sector housing	I don't think so

## REGENERATION AND ECONOMY

Partially	To a limited extent
Partly	Not really. Constant pressure must be applied. The number of vital visitors & new businesses will not increase unless these areas are improved. Would like more information on "Enterprise Hub" - an important achievement.
Not deeply enough - as they are affected by Central Government	I don't know, I feel that the key to most things is Regeneration & Economy. Everything else can follow if that is right. I'm afraid that transport infrastructure is a problem for that.
No	Mainly
Not entirely	It is difficult to see how the strategy addresses these concerns as it is problems with the infrastructure - good roads etc - which need to be addressed. Eastbourne is gridlocked and public transport is poor and expensive.
I hear plans are in hand for town centre. I put a motion to Council 3 years ago asking for what I understand is being considered now. Don't believe the council is strong enough to make developers meet required needs at marina	To some extent where within area of control
To some extent	Yes, mainly. There is a need for continuing support for voluntary organisations. Also increase in employment opportunities which will raise the local average wage.
No	Mainly
No, to some extent it is outside the remit.	Transport infrastructure. Quality, effective and efficient road network required to attract businesses and to implement public transport strategies
Mainly. There is a need for continuing support for volorgs. Also increase in employment opportunities which will raise the local average wage	

## CRIME AND DISORDER

Mainly. There is a need for continuing support for volorgs. Also increase in employment opportunities which will raise the local average wage	I do not think so
---	-------------------

## HEALTH AND SOCIAL CARE

Not completely	Not really, because Eastbourne has to obey the government. Also, we could do with a surgery in Meads! But I do like what the Towner Art Gallery is doing, but I'm sorry it will move from it's beautiful site.
----------------	--

## GENERAL

Not really - I believe this whole partnership is a waste of time and money	The community strategy plans ahead well & implements some ambitious targets. The problem is to actually reach them. I am also concerned about the attitudes amongst some residents in Eastbourne
Yes but will it be a reality in 2020! It sounds a bit like a Politicians mandate Dare to Dream!	Possibly. Action will show whether the Community Strategy is effective
Not really - I believe this whole partnership is a waste of time and money	

## DO YOU AGREE WITH THE KEY INDICATORS SELECTED?

Yes	To Some Extent	No	Don't Know	No Response
22	38	1	0	2

## IF NO, WHAT INDICATORS WOULD YOU LIKE TO SEE INCLUDED?

We are not entirely happy with the "indicators" for Health & Social Care. We appreciate that "deaths" are an easier thing to measure but quality of life - living in sub standard accommodation, in places you don't want to be - is more relevant.	Crime statistics presented in a meaningful way e.g. "Car theft down from 100 to 50" not "reduction of 50%" which might mean down from 2 to 1. Positive statements as to action to be taken to redress matters.
Targets around domestic violence - education (schools, colleges), awareness raising, increase in reporting, training for EBC employees	Transport - incoming (as above) P17 Add key indicator "The number of assaults at night through drunkenness. Disagree with your comments on p16 para 3 - we are all in fear of assaults at night and many of us do not go near the town and surrounding areas
I don't understand the key indicators & what they are	Links to Eastbourne via rail & road.
Cost of Airbourne	I should like to see more involvement, and recognition of involvement already being undertaken by churches in town
I would like to suggest a "happiness" indicator	
Greater co-operation between and integration of all sectors of all the schools (senior, university, private and maintained) to achieve a united whole	Under Regeneration & Economy, it would help to have increase in skilled vacancies/training offered measured in some way.
Reduce Council Tax or find a fairer way of levying your charge	A year on % reduction in the waiting time for affordable housing
Not sure how you will improve HMOs when you let owners 'off the hook' recently when they failed to improve their property in the time you gave them. Council must be stronger & more proactive	Health and Social Care - deaths by suicide (there is a growth in this with the common perception being that support for mental illness is inadequate). Learning and Skills - numbers of computer literate to increase (through survey).
I would like to praise all those who collect our rubbish and keep the beaches clean. There are still litterbugs around, but not many. And people are getting better and better about cleaning dog mess and the downs are clean.	Much tougher planning and refusing kowtow to corporate bullying

<p>Do not feel that key indicators 12 and 15 cover the section well, should be KI for road/rail/transport</p>	<p>Perhaps a more focused indicator such as reducing amount of parents driving their children to school at a number of selected schools (as a result of efforts between borough/county councils &amp; schools/parents to reduce car use) would be more tangible than current indicator of reducing the no of vehicles on the roads - which seems unlikely to be achieved under current plans.</p>
---	---

**APPENDIX 1  
DRAFT REVISED EASTBOURNE COMMUNITY STRATEGY  
RESPONSE FORM**

**ALL INFORMATION GIVEN IS TOTALLY CONFIDENTIAL**

**DID YOU FIND THE EASTBOURNE COMMUNITY STRATEGY EASY TO READ?** (Tick one box)

Very Easy	Fairly Easy	Difficult	No Opinion

**IF VERY EASY OR FAIRLY EASY, WHAT DID YOU LIKE ABOUT IT?**

**IF DIFFICULT, WHAT DIDN'T YOU LIKE ABOUT IT?**

**THE PARTNERSHIP VISION OF EASTBOURNE IN 2020 IS:** We want Eastbourne to be a thriving town. A place where people want to live, work and visit, with every resident enjoying a high quality of life and a healthy, clean environment that is valued, preserved and improved for future generations.

**IS THIS WHAT YOU WANT EASTBOURNE TO BE LIKE IN THE FUTURE?** (Tick one box)

YES	TO SOME EXTENT	NO	DON'T KNOW

**WHAT ARE YOUR MAIN CONCERNS ABOUT THE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT OF EASTBOURNE?**

**DOES THE COMMUNITY STRATEGY ADDRESS THESE CONCERNS?**

**DO YOU AGREE WITH THE KEY INDICATORS SELECTED?**

YES	TO SOME EXTENT	NO	DON'T KNOW

**IF NO, WHAT INDICATORS WOULD YOU LIKE TO SEE INCLUDED?**

**AND FINALLY.....**

Note: The purpose of these questions is to monitor whether or not there are differences in levels of satisfaction with the revised Eastbourne Community Strategy between people of different demographic groups. We are legally required to include these questions in all our questionnaires.

**If you are responding on behalf of an organisation please indicate the name of your organisation below, together with a brief description. You do not need to complete any further questions.**

<b>Name of organisation:</b>
------------------------------

<b>How long have you lived in Eastbourne?</b>	
Less than 2 years	
2-5 Years	
6-20 years	
More than 20 years	

<b>I am</b>	
Male	
Female	

<b>I am:</b>	
15-17	
18-24	
25-44	
45-64	
65-80	
80+	

<b>Which of the categories listed best describes your employment situation?</b>	
In full time paid work (more than 30 hrs – employed or self employed)	
In part time work (less than 30 hrs – employed or self employed)	
In full time education or training	
Not in paid work and looking for employment	
Not in paid work and not looking for employment	
Retired	

**Do you have any long-standing illness, disability or infirmity? (Long standing means anything that has troubled you over a period of time or that is likely to affect you over a period of time)**

<b>Yes</b>	
<b>No</b>	

**Does this illness or disability limit your activities in any way?**

<b>Yes</b>	
<b>No</b>	

**Does anyone in your household use a wheelchair?**

<b>Yes</b>	
<b>No</b>	

**Which one of the ethnic groups listed do you belong to?**

<b>WHITE</b>	
British	
Irish	
Any other white background	
<b>MIXED</b>	
White & black Caribbean	
White & black African	
White & Asian	
Any other mixed background	
<b>ASIAN OR ASIAN BRITISH</b>	
Indian	
Pakistani	
Bangladeshi	
Any other Asian background	
<b>BLACK OR BLACK BRITISH</b>	
Caribbean	
African	
Any other black background	
<b>CHINESE OR OTHER ETHNIC GROUP</b>	
Chinese	
Any other	

**The Secretariat  
 Eastbourne Strategic Partnership  
 FREEPOST NAT2974  
 EASTBURNE  
 BN21 4ZW  
 Esp@eastbourne.gov.uk**